UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,030	06/29/2006	Krishna Murthy Ella	06-40104-US	7696
7066 REED SMITH	7590 07/22/201 LLP	EXAMINER		
2500 ONE LIB	ERTY PLACE	BLUMEL, BENJAMIN P		
1650 MARKET PHILADELPH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/22/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/585,030	ELLA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BENJAMIN P. BLUMEL	1648	

	BENJAMIN P. BLUMEL	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>7/14/2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	ATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLC	WANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires <u>s</u> months from the mailing date of the linar rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWC MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compl	liance with 27 CEP 41 27 must be t	filed within two months	of the data of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	will <u>not</u> be entered be 「E below);	cause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•					
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li></ul>	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: the amendments to claims 1 and 3 require	additional consideration. (See 37 0	CFR 1.116 and 41.33(	a)).			
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all- non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6,8,9 and 14-18</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>10,12 and 13</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
D. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13.						
/Zachariah Lucas/	/BENJAMIN P BLUMEL/	•				
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1648	Examiner Art Unit: 1648					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Since the amendments to the claims are not being entered due to the requirement for further consideration, the 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd paragraph rejections are being maintained due to the claimed invention requiring the use of HIMAX technology which contains several steps based on the specification at pages 5, 7 and 11 (particularly the flow charts on pages 7 and 11). As stated in the previous Office action, it is unclear if the HIMAX technology is the process mapped out on page 7 or if it is the specific step as presented on page 11. Due to applicants amendment submitted on 7/14/2010, by removing the recitation of HIMAX technology in claims 1 and 3, the scope of the claimed invention has become broader and additional consideration of the claimed invention is now required.

However, upon entry of these amendments the above stated rejections would be overcome.